

ZhytomyrIvanFrankoStateUniversity Journal. Philosophical Sciences. Vol. 2(88)

Вісник Житомирського державного університету імені Івана Франка. Філософські науки. Вип. 2(88) ISSN: 2663-7650

#### СОЦІАЛЬНА ФІЛОСОФІЯ SOCIAL PHILOSOPHY

UDC 316.74:342.415

DOI 10.35433/PhilosophicalSciences.2(90).2021.40-51

# CONFESSIONAL PLURALISM AS A PHENOMEN OF MODERNITY: WORLD AND UKRAINIAN CONTEXT

O. F. Gold\*, M. A. Kozlovets\*\*

The article considers polyconfessionalism as a social phenomenon of mankind, describes the features and trends of religious diversity in the world and Ukraine, and reveals the role of religious pluralism in the realization of freedom of conscience. Thirstily of the XVII century, when the principle of freedom of conscience was proclaimed and realized, polyconfessionalism became the idea of civilized coexistence of religions and churches. These trends are reflected in the socio-political life of modern societies. Based on the method of classical evolutionism proposed by the representative of the British School of Sociology and Philosophy of Religion G. Spencer, neo-evolutionism of the American anthropologist J. Stewart and convergent processes in the religious life of Ukrainian scientist E. Martyniuk, the essence of polyconfession is considered and analyzed. homogeneity to diversity; b) consolidation and differentiation of societies; c) culture and socio-geographical features; d) convergent processes of society. Attention is paid to the facts of polyconfessionalism and convergence in the world and in Ukraine, which is due to the evolutionary-historical state and sociopolitical conditions of the country. This phenomenon is an evolutionary model of modern society, which tends to form the heterogeneity of society, fluctuations from integration to differentiation, adaptation to natural conditions, and multivectority. On the basis of the historical approach and analytical calculations the facts of convergence of polyconfessionalism and multiculturalism are obtained and the further prospects of their existence are forecasted. It is noted that the phenomenon of polyconfessionalism, which also occurs in societies where state religions are proclaimed, often leads to the existence and constant emergence of new religious movements. Polyconfessionalism often produces interfaith misunderstandings and conflicts, which can be a catalyst for new trends

ORCID: 0000-0003-1689-227X

(Zhytomyr Ivan Franko State University, Zhytomyr, Ukraine)

mykola.kozlovets@ukr.net

ORCID: 0000-0002-5242-912X

40

<sup>\*</sup> Candidate of Philosophical Sciences, Grand PhD student (Zhytomyr Ivan Franko State University, Zhytomyr, Ukraine) Olgoldios@gmail.com

<sup>\*\*</sup> Doctor of Sciences (Philosophy), Professor, Professor of the Department of Philosophy and Political Science

and directions. The determinants have been identified, as well as the factors that contribute to or hinder the exercise of freedom of conscience in modern Ukrainian multi-religious society have been analyzed. It is stated that although the concepts of "freedom of religion", "multiculturalism", "religious diversity" are largely implemented today in most modern societies, they must be constantly maintained and strengthened. A special role in this belongs to scientists who substantiate the theoretical foundations of multicultural, multi-religious existence of society.

**Keywords:** religion, confession, desecularization, multicultural society, multidimensional society, religious pluralism, Christianity.

#### КОНФЕСІЙНИЙ ПЛЮРАЛІЗМ ЯК ФЕНОМЕН СУЧАСНОСТІ: СВІТОВИЙ ТА УКРАЇНСЬКИЙ КОНТЕКСТ

О. Ф. Гольд, М. А. Козловець

поліконфесійність як статті розглянуто соціальний феномен охарактеризовано особливості й тенденції розвитку релігійного різноманіття в світі та Україні, розкрито роль релігійного плюралізму в реалізації свободи совісті. Починаючи з XVII сторіччя, коли проголошується й реалізується принцип свободи совісті, поліконфесійність стає ідеєю цивілізованого співіснування релігій і церков. Зазначені тенденції знаходять свій вияв в соціально-політичному житті сучасних суспільств. Спираючись на методику класичного еволюціонізму, запропоновану представником британської школи соціології та філософії релігії Г. Спенсером, неоеволюціонізму американського антрополога Дж. Стюарта та конвергентних процесів в релігійному житті українського науковця Е. Мартинюка, розглянуто та проаналізовано сутність поліконфесійності в соціумі: а) еволюція соціуму – від однорідності до різноманітності; б) консолідація та диференціація суспільств; в) культура та соціально-географічні особливості; г) конвергентні процеси соціуму. Звернено увагу на факти поліконфесійності та конвергенції в світі і на теренах України, що зумовлено еволюційно-історичним станом та соціально-політичними умовами країни. Цей феномен є еволюційною моделлю сучасного суспільства, яка має тенденції до формування гетерогенності соціуму, флуктуацій від інтеграції до диференціації, пристосування до природних умов, мультивекторності. На основі історичного підходу та аналітичних викладок наведено факти зближення поліконфесійності і мультикультуральності та існування. Зазначено, спрогнозовано подальші перспективи ïχ поліконфесіональності, яке має місце і в суспільствах, де проголошуються державні релігії, часто веде до існування і постійного виникнення нових релігійних течій. Поліконфесійність нерідко продукує міжконфесійні непорозуміння й конфлікти, що може бути каталізатором нових течій та напрямів. Визначені детермінанти, а також проаналізовані фактори, які сприяють чи перешкоджають здійсненню свободи совісті в сучасному українському поліконфесійному соціумі. Констатовано, що хоча поняття "свободи віросповідання", "мультикультурализму", "релігійного різноманіття" сьогодні значною мірою  $\epsilon$  реалізованими в більшості сучасних суспільств, однак їх необхідно постійно підтримувати та зміцнювати. Особливу роль в цьому належить науковцям, які обтрунтовують теоретичні засади мультикультурного, поліконфесійного буття суспільства.

**Ключові слова:** віросповідання, конфесія, десекуляризація, мультикультуральне суспільство, поліконфесійність, релігійний плюралізм, християнство.

**Formulation of the problem.** The relevance of the study of the chosen topic is due primarily to the diverse sociocultural transformations in the context of accelerating globalization processes, and the heterogeneity of the current

religious situation in the world. Instead of the expected emergence of a single religion in the global world, humanity is witnessing an almost universal "uprising" of religions. The religious picture of the world is becoming more

diverse, national societies and increasingly contain signs of polyconfessionalism. Although the religious landscape of the world still historical retains and geographical segmentation and some countries look quite homogeneous given the religious affiliation of their citizens, but the events of the third millennium, occurring in the world and in Ukraine in particular, indicate the growing role of religious factors in socio-political, cultural life and world politics. Globalization turns the world into a single whole and even if it does not cause global cultural and religious convergence, it in any case makes the meeting of religions and cultures irreversible [1].

Religious pluralism as a foundation of freedom of conscience manifested itself in the Reformation era, although it was surrounded by a number of caveats. For the first time, religious pluralism was thoroughly declared from the standpoint of the interpretation of religious freedom, attitudes toward non-Christian religions, and ecumenism at the Second Vatican Council (1962-1965).The Vatican Council adopted the relevant ("Nostra documents aetata" "Declaration on the Church's attitude to religions", non-Christian "Dignitatis numanae" – "Declaration religious on freedom", "Unitatis redintegratio" -"Declaration on ecumenism"), which directly or indirectly concerned religious pluralism, relations between different denominations. For the first time in its history, the Council of the Catholic Church has formed the doctrinal foundations of religious freedom [2: 305-310; 375-392].

The phenomenon of polyconfessionalism significantly affects society, its axiological principles, and public policy. The principles of freedom of conscience, civil liberties, religious freedom, religious diversity and tolerance are the basic values of civilized life. Today, religion is given a legal place in the public sphere within the post-secular

paradigm, the task of which is to build dialogic relations between the secular and religious worldview [3]. Very heated debates also revolve around values and identities based on religious beliefs and feelings. Moreover. polyconfessionalism in interdependent, globalized space poses threats to the escalation of world religious confrontations. In view of the the phenomenon polyconfessionalism is the subject increased attention of both scholars and theologians, clergy and parishioners.

Degree of scientific development. There is a large amount of domestic and foreign literature on the analysis of polyconfessionalism as an important aspect of society and the state. Thus, research on polyconfessionalism in the context of evolutionism, and evolutionism convergence was carried out by such foreign authors as R. Bellah. D. Bell. J. Baudrillard, M. Weber, R. Weintz, H. Casanova, G. Spencer., F. Yancy and others. Transformations of the religious and ecclesiastical sphere caused by radical geopolitical changes of the late XX - early XXI centuries are analyzed in the works of Ukrainian scientists V. Bondarenko, V. Yelensky, E. Koppel, O. Parkhomchuk, R. Protsyuk, O. Sagan Problems building and others. of interfaith relations in the new social conditions became the subject attention of A. Aristova, M. M. Zakovych, S. Zdioruk, V. Klimov, M. Novichenko, A. Kolodny, L. Prokopenko, M. Rybachuk, L. Filipovych, O. Shubi, and P. Yarotsky have made significant achievements in studying the influence of polyconfessionalism on the processes nationand state-building, ethnointegration, and the cultural progress of Ukrainians. Modernity and history of polyconfessionalism, the social role of religiosity in the formation of personality, spirituality as a component of national education are also considered in the works of O. Gold, O. Dobrodum,

M. Kozlovets, E. Martyniuk, V. Tytarenko and others. However, many issues of polyconfessionalism in terms of sociophilosophical analysis have not yet been sufficiently raised in scientific research.

The aim of the study is to analyze the theoretical and practical aspects of polyconfessionalism as а social of religious phenomenon, the role dialogue pluralism and in social integration, to determine the current religious situation in Ukraine to develop an effective model of interfaith relations.

Discussion results. and phenomenon of polyconfessionalism is a historically complex social phenomenon. It is known that religion as a social phenomenon is organically woven into the ethnicity of society. It cannot exist independently of such historical forms of human communities as race and tribe, nationality, nation. World religions are not free from ethnic influence either. Because religions arose from the tribal and tribal system, and later, when they became national, they did not lose their ties with ethnocultural, because they grew out of the social conditions of an individual people. Religious views are organically combined with ethnicity, culture, psychology, tradition. Religion human communities endows powerful symbolism, as well as draws boundaries between them that difficult to penetrate. As a systemic phenomenon, religion consists separate subsystems - specific religions, religious movements, trends, sects, each of which occupies its place in the is characterized by its structure, integrity.

There of are several types polyconfessional existence in modern societies. Scholars, for example, distinguish "American", "British", "Greek", "Russian", "Ukrainian", "Israeli", "Indian" models of multi-religious states. The criteria of these models are many factors that are specific to the particular country under consideration, but not exclusively the borrowing of practice in different societies / states [4].

Often in the humanities, the concept of "polyconfessionalism" is synonymous with the term "secularism". It will be recalled that initially secularization (from the Latin saecularis - secular) meant the transition of church and monastery lands to state ownership, conversion of church property into secular, the renunciation of the spiritual title and church position and transition secular status. to Subsequently, the meaning of this term expanded and secularization began to mean the liberation of various spheres of public life from the influence of religion, church, religious institutions, ie a clear distinction between religious and secular spheres. The secularization of society, which began in the new era, has become a fundamentally new situational context, against which the functionality religion has undergone perhaps the greatest transformations. If in the Middle Ages Christianity permeated human existence in almost all spheres of life, then from the second half of the XVIII century this lifestyle has changed. For the first time, religion was forced to take into account such a serious opponent as secular society, which existed before, but ideologically formalized its principles in the philosophy and ideology of the Enlightenment. Thus, modernization is formed by secularization and leads to polyconfessional societies.

To characterize the polyconfessional society, the concepts of convergent processes are also used - acceleration, actualization of eschatology, actualization of social concepts, Americanization, veltization, virtualization, globalization, dialogue, ecumenical movement, egosyntonization, inclusivism. exoterization. postconciliarism, conjunction religious pluralism, rationalization of cultic actions, revivalization, secularization, syncretization, scientification, unification of exteriorization, tolerance, feminization,

fundamentalization, charismatization, etc.

Note that the processes of political genesis in early medieval Europe were characterized by diversification - in the presence of unconditional typological similarity pronounced and а "mainstream". The main factor that determined their course within each historical region was the balance of density of the Roman (or Byzantine) and German (or Slavic) traditions. As a result, the cultural face of all early medieval states was determined by the local symbiosis of these two principles. In this respect, Anglo-Saxon Britain has taken a unique position. Prior to its forcible involvement in 1066 in the flow of European feudal (at that time, in fact, Norman) tradition, historical mostly England demonstrates quite standard examples of the symbiosis of different cultures. And it would not be an exaggeration to say that the degree of contradiction of the combination of traditions can be compared only with the contradictions synchronous of culture. leaving far behind continental and Scandinavian culture "[5: 2].

Researcher V. Malakhov notes that almost all modern states are secular, and secular in their own way. Therefore, the answers to the question of what it means for the state to be secular vary widely. In France, for example, it is impossible to imagine a cabinet meeting that opens with prayer, which was perfectly normal for the United States in the early 2000s. In the United States, it inconceivable for the federal government to fund a denomination, while in Denmark the clergy of the People's Church because the church has state status. In Ireland, the constitution prohibits state support for any denomination, but the Catholic Church has so much political influence that it has until recently successfully blocked the adoption of liberal abortion legislation. In Germany,

the separation of state and church is enshrined in law, but at the same time the Constitution declares that the state cooperates with the church in such areas as education and social policy. German citizens levy a tax on the church, which is considered routine in everyday life in, say, Austria and Italy, while such taxation is explicitly prohibited by law in France and the United States [6:51].

growing importance of religious factor in public life and world politics in the last quarter of the twentieth century called into question the position of the so-called "theory of political modernization" developed by "sociology of development" American J. Coleman, G. Elmond, D. Rostow, inevitably comprehensive which secularization of political structures in the process of modernization. Under the pressure of events, the representatives of the "theory of political modernization" were forced to admit that not all will follow countries the path secularization that Europe and United States have gone through, and secularization is not а necessary condition for modernization. There is a phenomenon historical desecularization as the return of religion to the theory and practice of public life and international relations [7: 82-83].

Thus, E. Hard notes, desecularization and "religious revival" are seen as a return to the ordinary world order. The growth of "non-state consciousness" actually leads to the equivalence of state and religious consciousness, as well as to human support for new religious denominations. The consequence of desecularization is a revision of the role of religion in all spheres of public life, international relations [8].

The current, postmodern era is characterized by the "great return" of religion in global politics, in international relations, in state building, in solving environmental problems, in the biological and social existence of man [9].

Culture and language play important role in a multi-religious and P. Reeker multicultural society. reasonably writes: "Humanity as a whole has entered the era of global civilization, which testifies to the colossal progress in all spheres of life and at the same time poses the most difficult task of adapting cultural heritage to its new state and preservation, to some extent and in one way or another we feel the burden of the contradiction between the inevitability of this rise and progress, on the one hand, and the need to save the inherited cultural heritage, on the other"[10].

Sociologist Dinesh D'Souza concludes the existence of the idea of multiculturalism in Europe as a political and social construct is problematic not only as a factor in Islam. Even the experience of the United States suggests that society cannot afford the luxury of cultural pluralism as the equal coexistence of many cultures. This "the suggests that discourse multiculturalism, which arose in the wake of the democratic renewal of society and was associated with the progressive humanization of human coexistence. appeared in completely different a capacity" [11: 330].

Some authors express doubts about multiculturalism as an adequate expression of society in the current conditions, in a situation of cultural pluralism, and argue the opposite. Thus, mentioned the already V. Malakhov states: the ideology multiculturalism is an obstacle to the formation of a multicultural society rather than a means of such formation. Whoever defends it..., multiculturalism elevated to ideology blocks democratic pluralism, substituting civil society. The period of independence of the Ukrainian state is a manifestation of the historical heritage, which was first received in the tsarist spiritual life, as well as statechurch relations. and his essential right to freedom, adaptation to the political or economic interests of societies" [12: 159].

The researcher further notes: "The discourse of multiculturalism, which arose in the wake of the democratic renewal of society and was associated with the progressive humanization of human coexistence, acted as an ideology of consistent opponents of democracy." European proponents of otherness passed under the banner of politically "multiculturalism", correct and United States and Canada in the early 90's of the twentieth century, faced with so-called reactive multiculturalism, the bearers of which - ethnic and cultural minorities - insist on such a radical difference between their identity and the identity of others, "that rapprochement can simply be said". The aggressiveness of such a position of minority separation, which, according to the author, can be described using the sociological terms "stigmatized identity" "voluntary acceptance of stigma", allows modern researchers to assess the phenomenon modern of multiculturalism as a "consequence of devaluation of values", which they used to associate "with the moral code of recognition, turned out to be a code word for segregation strategies," and "the apology of otherness turned into a sermon on alienation. What seemed unequivocally progressive yesterday is easily integrated into reactionary ideologies"[13: 166].

Outlining the destructive influence of polyconfessionalism, the constructive significance of this phenomenon in modern societies cannot be ruled out. The experience of civilized countries shows that the coexistence of different religions and cultures in society is an important condition for democratic, humanistic and legal development of nations based on interfaith and cultural contacts, and the context of ideological confessional pluralism is necessary condition for free selfdetermination and self-realization.

A distinctive feature of modern Ukrainian society is its multi-religious

nature - currently there are more than 100 denominations. Beginning in the middle of the 16th century, Ukraine birthplace the of Protestant movements from the time of the Reformation. Judaism and Islam Ukrainian lands even appeared on earlier. The proclamation of Ukraine's independence, new historical conditions, and the realization of the principle of freedom of conscience contributed to the emergence of new and actualization of historical religions. New Christian and non-Christian currents, branches, groups, sometimes quite exotic, far from national culture and religious tradition of the Ukrainian people, are spreading here. The various denominations have indeed been given many opportunities to assert themselves, to find their own niche in society.

Today in Ukraine there is a wide network (both registered unregistered) of religious organizations monasteries, communities, missions. fraternities, educational institutions. different etc., which belong to denominations, trends, churches and buildings. New cult Sunday schools appear in different parts of Ukraine, new periodicals are published. For all the diversity of the religious picture of modern Ukraine, religious communities are still within different directions of Christianity.

In December 2018, the organizational reform of Orthodoxy in Ukraine took place: on the basis of the UOC-KP and UAOC unification, the Orthodox Church of Ukraine was constituted, which in January 2019 received a Tomos from the Ecumenical Patriarchate on autocephaly. Changes in the organizational design of Orthodoxy in Ukraine have been followed by corresponding changes in the confessional-church self-determination of Orthodox believers (as opposed to fairly stable confessional affiliations).

Thus, modern Ukraine is one of the most multi-religious societies in Europe, where Orthodox, Catholic, Protestant,

Muslim, Jewish, non-traditional religions of various degrees of prevalence and institutionalization are intertwined with a complex pattern. The very fact of the coexistence of numerous denominations, the vast majority of which are only the background for a few dominant church structures in the ethnocultural becomes environment, a significant catalyst for confrontation and religious conflicts. Inter-church contradictions are an external manifestation polyconfessionalism, the impact of which on public life is deep and diverse. The significant diversity of the confessional environment makes it unlikely to have a productive dialogue between bearers of different religions, the development of systematic long-term relationships, the development of programs of interaction and cooperation. Denominations are occupied mainly with their internal affairs, and individual joint actions mostly do not find public resonance.

Vectors of tension in the religious environment of Ukraine are expressed in the early 1990s and multiply in three main directions: a) through open traditional competition between denominations: b) to the due confrontation of traditional and nontraditional denominations for Ukraine; c) due to troubles and crises of intraconfessional development [13]. Moreover, these confrontations and conflicts are determined by historical. national. political factors, and are cultivated by the churches themselves, hostile in matters of dogma, worship, methods of preaching and missionary activity, principles of organization, methods of conversion of neophytes and more. In addition, the general public still does not perceive Ukraine as a meeting place and interpenetration of different religious movements and cultures. Protestantism. Catholicism. are and Islam interpreted as spiritual traditions alien and even hostile to Ukrainians, a living example of expansion and suppression of one's own church.

In the conditions of political and economic instability. immature unformed national democracy, consciousness, polyconfessionalism can seriously slow down the processes of national development, integration of society, development of civil society [14: 76]. Therefore, the recognition religious pluralism is the only prospect of peaceful coexistence and ecumenical cooperation of all Ukrainian churches and religious organizations.

The nature ofthe religiousconfessional identity of modern Ukraine characterized by incompleteness, blurring, syncretism in the formation of identity, the multiplicity of its forms, the "conflict of identities." We emphasize that divisions within traditional religions, frustration and despair in anticipation of confessional harmony stimulate outflow of believers to non-traditional religions. Ethno-religious, confessional, state-church, intra-social, relations interpersonal would transparent and stable, tolerant and humanistic, if first of all churches, religious communities and, following their example, the state and society recognized religious pluralism as a cornerstone in the foundation of freedom of conscience.

multi-confessional The nature Ukrainian society requires finding a qualitatively new, "integral" based on ideological pluralism. Some foreign analysts (H. Casanova, V. Derem) assess the domestic confessional structure as truly pluralistic and "closest to the American model" with its open competition in the "free" and "voluntaristic religious market" [8: 95].

We agree with the valid opinion of the national theologian A. Aristova: "Perhaps it is the excessive diversity of the confessional map that gives Ukraine an exceptional chance to create its own model of a religiously tolerant state, which is able to radically change the entire geo-confessional situation in its favor" [13].

first years of Ukraine's the independence the state authorities acted somewhat contrary to one fundamental principles of the current religion and church, legislation on according to which all religions, denominations religious and organizations are equal before the law and establishing any advantages or restrictions of one religion over another is not allowed [16], then in the future the basic principles of state-church relations were enshrined in the Constitution of Ukraine. According to Art. 35 of the Basic Law of Ukraine, "everyone has the right to freedom of thought and religion. This right includes the freedom to profess any religion or not to profess any, to perform religious cults and ritual rites individually or collectively, to conduct religious activities. The exercise of this right may be limited by law only in the interests of protection of public order, health and morals of the population or protection of the rights and freedoms of others. The church and religious organizations in Ukraine are separated from the state and the school from the church. No religion can be recognized by the state as mandatory" [17].

The dynamism of life itself calls on Ukrainian churches to rethink their role in society and on this basis to seek more effective forms of presence in the world, without losing their main purpose, without becoming another social institution, but to maintain a reasonable balance between earthly and heavenly. Today the Church boldly assumes the function of creating new meanings for the historical progress of Ukraine. It forms and formulates new strategies of social development, demanding a new social contract, which would be based on the alternative system of values prevailing in Ukraine today.

Confessional diversity, the presence of many religions and denominations, religious groups and organizations naturally caused certain problems and contradictions. It turned out that there is

no clear boundary between religions. They live and operate in conditions of mutual diffusion and must adapt to a multi-religious society. The change of the confessional landscape has led to a violation in the definition of its subjectivity, a kind of rupture of tradition and everyday life, religious and cultural experience [18: 8-10].

multi-confessional Ukrainian society, dialogue between religious movements, groups organizations is extremely important, the goal of which is to unite the efforts of all people - believers and non-believers - to revive Ukraine. form civil society, respect, tolerance for different worldviews. During the dialogue on the implementation of the principles of freedom of conscience and the secular nature of Ukrainian statehood, each religious organization must overcome claims to a monopoly position in the state or region, abandon the idea of its superiority uniqueness, the denomination over others, find common ground. Dialogue requires each party to recognize the right to exist and the equality of another worldview system, another type of thinking, other values, mutual respect for each other's views and positions, the rejection of coercive pressure and other forms of intolerant interaction. At the same time, the desire for dialogue between different types of worldview does not mean the rejection of each of the parties from their principles, does not imply leveling or rejection of differences. On the contrary, understanding these differences, including fundamental ones, their deep knowledge, undistorted vision is one of the conditions for a correct dialogue. confessional pluralism established in Ukrainian society: not only Christians, but also representatives of other faiths - primarily Islam, ethnic and neo-religions have the conditions for their development and seek to build their relationships on the principles religious tolerance. Religion and religious pluralism can significantly humanize and democratize the development of Ukrainian society in the XXI century.

Conclusions and prospects research. In the context of a globalized multicultural space, the influence of the multi-religious factor on the processes of creating national, spiritual, cultural and social values forms: a) a variety of views and strategies for the existence of modern multicultural and multi-religious society; b) the cooperation of churches in the interests of society on the basis of social component of ecumenism; c) movement towards integration, consolidation of civil society, churches, cultural communities, sociopolitical groups; d) the basis of clearly defined legislative relations between state institutions and representatives denominations and civil currents.

historical With its and modern experience, Ukraine is "doomed" polyconfessionalism. The key tasks of the Ukrainian state in this area are the formation of a full-fledged multi-religious society, organized on the principles of tolerance, religious tolerance, mutual understanding. Its successful implementation is hampered number of reasons, the main of which is the lack of understanding of importance of spiritual factors in the civilization progress of (these components are either ignored reduced to the rank of minor), as well as the legal disorder of the country's religious space. Today do not exist church, socio-religious and interfaith relations in the state. The situation in Ukraine also testified to the unpreparedness of the general public for polyconfessionalism as a norm of public life in the postmodern world. Ukraine is faced with the need to implement a meaningful state policy to revive religious, spiritual and moral values on a European democratic basis. The efforts of the authorities should be aimed at solving these problems, which hinder the

harmonious development of the confessional sphere.

In the conditions of rapid globalization, the role of the religious factor in the life of Ukrainian society will grow. It is possible that in the medium term it will become a solid foundation for national consolidation (not only spiritual, but also political, economic, territorial, etc.), an important link between Ukraine and the European Union, a means of preserving its own cultural identity under the pressure of unified masculturity.

#### **LITERATURE**

- 1. Еленский В. Е. Религия в глобальной политике: конец XX начало XXI века. [Електронний ресурс]. Режим доступу: http://www.religiopolis.org/documents/3292-ve-elenskij-religija-v-globalnojpolitike-konets-hh-nachalo-hhi-vekov.html (дата звернення: 10.08.2021).
- 2. Документи Другого Ватиканського Собору. Конституції, декрети, декларації. Львів: Свічадо, 1996. 796 с.
- 3. Habermas J. Religion in the Public Sphere // European Journal of Philosophy. 2006. Vol. 14.  $\mathbb{N}_{2}$  1. Pp.21–25.
- 4. Гольд О. Ф. Американизация как конвергентный процесс в религиозной жизни современности: социальнофилософский аспект: дис. канд. филос. 09.00.03 /Гольд Ольга Феликсовна. Одесса, 2012. 194 с.
- 5. Хлевов А. А. Англо-саксонская руническая надпись из островного Евангелия и раннего средневекового мультикультурализма. *Научный вестник Крыма*, 2016. 4 (4). С. 1-6.
- 6. Малахов В. С., Летняков Д. Э. Российское государство в конфессиональной сфере, или национальные особенности секуляризма . *Мир России*. 2019. Т. 28.  $N_{\odot}$  4. С. 49–67.
- 7. The Desecularization of the World. Resurgent religion and World Politics.

- Ed. Berger P. L. Washington, D. C., 1999. 234 p.
- 8. Hurd E. S. **Narratives** of desecularization in international relations [Electronic resours]/ Elizabeth Shakman Hurd. // Intellectual History Review. N27. Access 2017. http://faculty.weas.northwestern.edu/El izabeth Shakman Hurd/publications Narratives%20of% file s/ 20secularization%20in%20international %20relations.pdf (дата звернення: 12.08.2021).
- 9. Єленський В. Велике повернення: релігія у глобальній політиці та міжнародних відносинах кінця XX початку XXI століття. Львів: Вид-во УКУ, 2013. 504 с.
- 10. Рикёр П. История и истина / Пер. с фр. СПб.: Алетейя, 2002. 400 с.
- 11. Д'Суза Динеш. Америка: Каким бы был мир без нее?; [пер. с англ. Юлии Дементиенко]. Москва: ООО Изд-во "АСТ", 2015. 335 с.
- 12. Малахов В. Культурный плюрализм versus мультикультурализм // Логос. 2000. № 5-6. С.159–166 [Електронний ресурс]. Режим доступу:http://www.ruthenia.ru/logos/number/2000\_5\_6/2000\_5-6\_01.htm с. 166, 159 (дата звернення: 12.08.2021).
- 13. Аристова А. Поліконфесійність як феномен сучасності: Україна і світовий контекст; [Електронний ресурс]. Режим доступу: https://www.filosof.com.ua/Jornel/M\_5 5/Aristova.htm (дата звернення: 10.08.2021).
- 14. Рибачук М. Ф., Уткін О. І., Кирюшко М. І. Національне відродження і релігія. Київ: Асоціація "Україна", 1995. 208 с.
- 15. Яроцький П. Л. Релігієзнавство: підручник. Київ: Кондор-Видавництво, 2013. 442 с.
- 16. Етноконфесійна політика в Україні в 90-х роках XX ст.: регіональні особливості і закономірності. ІПіЕНД ім. І.Ф. Кураса НАН України. № 1. С. 251-254

- 17. Конституція України. Київ, 1997. [Електронний ресурс]. Режим доступу: https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/v 0a7p710-07#Text (дата звернення: 02.08.2021).
- 18. Козловец Н. А. Религиозный плюрализм как фундамент свободы совести: украинский контекст. Религия и общество 15 : сборник научных статей / под общ. ред.: В. В. Старостенко, О. В. Дьяченко, Э. В. Старостенко. Могилев: МГУ имени А. А. Кулешова, 2021. С. 8-10.

## REFERENCES (TRANSLATED & TRANSLITERATED)

- 1. Yelensky, V. E. Religiya v global'noy politike: konets XX nachalo XXI veka [Religion in global pokitics late XX early XXI century]. Access mode: http://www.religiopolis.org/documents/3292-ve-elenskij-religija-v-globalnojpolitike-konets-hh-nachalo-hhi-vekov.html (Last accessed: 10.08.2021).
- 2. Dokumenty Druhoho Vatykans'koho Soboru. Konstytutsiyi, dekrety, deklaratsiyi [Documents of the Second Vatican Council. Konstytutions, decrees, declarations]. (1996). L'viv: Svichado (in Ukrainian).
- 3. Habermas, J. (2006). Religion in the Public Sphere // European Journal of Philosophy. Vol. 14. № 1.
- 4. Gold, O. (2012).Amerycanizacia kak convergentny process v religioznoy sovremennosty: socialnozhizny philosophsky aspect [Americanization as a converdent process in the religious life of modern society: socio-Philosophical aspektl: Dis. candidate. Philosophy. 09.00.03 / Gold Olga. Odessa (in Ukraine).
- 5. Khlevov, A. A. (2016).Anglosaksonskaya runicheskaya nadpis' iz Yevangeliya ostrovnogo i rannego srednevekovogo mul'tikul'turalizma [Anglo-Saxon Runic Inscription from the Island Gospel Medieval and Nauchnyy Multiculturalism]. vestnik Kryma, 4 (4) (in Ukrainian).

- 6. Malakhov, V. S. Letnyakov D. E. (2019). Rossiyskoye gosudarstvo v konfessional'noy sfere, ili natsional'nyye osobennosti sekulyarizma [The Russian state in the confessional sphere, or national characteristics of secularism]. *Mir Rossii.* T. 28. № 4 (in Russian).
- 7. The Desecularization of the World. (1999). Resurgent religion and World Politics. Ed. Berger P. L. Washington, D. C.
- 8. Hurd E. S. **Narratives** of desecularization in international relations [Electronic resours]/ Elizabeth Shakman Hurd. // Intellectual History Review. 2017. N27. Access http://faculty.weas.northwestern.edu/El izabeth Shakman Hurd/publications Narratives%20of% file 20secularization%20in%20international %20relations.pdf (Last accessed: 12.08.2021).
- 9. Yelensky, V. (2013). Velyke povernennya: relihiya u hlobal'niy politytsi ta mizhnarodnykh vidnosynakh kintsya XX pochatku XXI stolittya [The Great Retum Religion in global Pokitics and International Relations in the late XX early XXI century]. L'viv: Vyd-vo UKU (in Ukrainian).
- 10. Rykër, P. (2002). Istoriya i istina [History and truth]; Per. s fr. Sankt-Peterburg.: Aleteyya (in Russian).
- 11. D'Suza, Dinesh. (2015). Amerika: Kakim by byl mir bez neye? [America: Imagine a World without Her?]; per. s angl. Yulii Dementiyenko. Moskva: OOO Izd-vo "AST" (in Russian)
- 12. Malakhov, V. (2000). Kul'turnyy plyuralizm versus mul'tikul'turalizm [Cultural pluralism versus multiculturalism]. *Logos*. № 5-6. Access mode:
- http://www.ruthenia.ru/logos/number/2000\_5\_6/2000\_5-6\_01.htm (Last accessed: 12.08.2021) (in Russian).
- 13. Arystova, A. Polikonfesiynist' yak fenomen suchasnosti: Ukrayina i svitovyy kontekst [Polyconfessionalism as a modern phenomenon: Ukraine and the word context]. Access mode:

- https://www.filosof.com.ua/Jornel/M\_5 5/Aristova.htm (Last accessed: 10.08.2021).
- 14. Rybachuk, M. F., Utkin, O. I., Kyryushko, M. I. (1995). Natsional'ne vidrodzhennya i relihiya [National revival and religion]. Kyyiv: Asotsiatsiya "Ukrayina" (in Ukrainian).
- 15. Yarots'kyy, P. L. (2013). Relihiyeznavstvo: pidruchnyk [Religious Studies: textbook]. Kyyiv: Kondor-Vydavnytstvo (in Ukrainian).
- 16. Etnokonfesiyna polityka v Ukrayini v 90-kh rokakh XX st.: rehional'ni osoblyvosti i zakonomirnosti [Ethno-confessional politicy in Ukraine in the 90x of the XX century regional features and patterns]/ IPiEND

- im. I. F. Kurasa NAN Ukrayiny. No 1 (in Ukrainian).
- 17. Konstytutsiya Ukrayiny (1997). [Constitution of Ukraine]. Kyyiv. Access mode:
- https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/v 0a7p710-07#Text (Last accessed: 02.08.2021). (in Ukrainian).
- 18. Kozlovets, N. A. (2021). Religioznyv fundament plyuralizm kak svobody sovesti: ukrainskiy kontekst [Religious Pluralism as the Foundation of Frefdom Conscience: Ukrainian Context]. Religiya i obshchestvo - 15 : sbornik nauchnykh statey / pod obshch. red.: V. V. Starostenko, O. V. D'yachenko, E. V. Starostenko. Mogilev: MGU imeni A. A. Kuleshova (in Belarus).

Receive: June 15, 2021 Accepted: September 12, 2021